

Name **GEORGE MOBLEY HORNE**
 Lodge **DENHAM SPRINGS NO. 297**
 Occupation **Minister** **0600** Birth Date **5/10/1895**
 Initiated **4/10/1925** Passed **5/9/1925** Raised **6/24/1925**
 Degrees **Maurika No. 315, Okla. (defunct)(297)**
 Demitted **12/4/1943(Okla.):6/26/1953(Tex.):2/21/56 ***
 Affiliated **Sampson 231, Texas - 1/14/1944**
~~SPRING~~ " **DENHAM SPRINGS 297 - 8/13/1953**
 " **FLAINS NO. 135 - 2/21/1956**
~~REINSTATE~~ **No. d 12/31/1933 (Okla) * See over**
Reinst. 9/2/1943 G.I.Cert. Rejected
 Dropped Res. **Unknown** Susp. U.M.C.
 Expelled U.M.C. **Died**
25 yr. Cert. 8/24/1960 50 yr. Cert.
GRAND LODGE OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA, F. & A. M. — STATE REGISTER * Over **297**

THOUGHTS ABOUT MASONRY

(For Masons Only)



by:

GEORGE M. HORNE
(Retired)

Affiliated:

ISTROUMA NO. 414 - 11/21/1961

~~Plural Member~~

Demitted-11/6/1962(136)

SOME OF MY PERSONAL THOUGHTS
ABOUT MASONRY (For Masons Only)

By: GEORGE M. HORNE (Retired)

In my opinion, Masonry is for THOSE ONLY who have a profound trust in God as their personal Creator, Lord, and Redeemer; who accept God as the Creator of all that is, including themselves; who accept God as their LORD to whom, alone, they owe absolute obedience; who accept God as their REDEEMER as the only hope of the world. I believe that THIS is the just reason for rejecting all men who do not put their trust in God. In this respect, Masonry is in full accord with the Holy Bible which says: "HEAR, O ISRAEL: THE LORD, OUR GOD, IS ONE LORD; AND THOU SHALT LOVE THE LORD, THY GOD, WITH ALL THINE HEART, AND WITH ALL THY SOUL, AND WITH ALL THY MIGHT... THOU SHALT HAVE NO GOD EXCEPT ME". Jesus put it this way: "NO MAN CAN SERVE TWO MASTERS". This decisive and ultimate FAITH in THE ONLY GOD THERE IS is the firm foundation for Masonry and for Biblical Religion. Both stand upon this unmovable ROCK, and from this ROCK OF ETERNAL TRUTH, all else in Masonry and Biblical Religion flows like pure water from an everlasting fountain. True Masonry and true Biblical Religion are committed UNCONDITIONALLY to complete FIDELITY TO GOD AND TO HIS ETERNAL TRUTH. This absolute TRUTH has been symbolized from very ancient times by a CUBE. This is WHY the Holy of Holies in the Tabernacle and in Solomon's Temple were cubical in geometric form. The ARK OF THE COVENANT was placed within that CUBE, symbolizing that the HOLY WILL and the HOLY PRESENSE of God exist ONLY WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF ABSOLUTE TRUTH. God is not to be found in falsehood.

The Holy Bible speaks of "THE LIGHT OF THE WORLD". Masonry speaks of the need for more light. If you were within a cubical box which had no light within it, you could see nothing; regardless of the marvelous wonders about you. But, if you have even a little light, you could see SOMETHING. From remote times, therefore, LIGHT has been the symbol of Divine Revelation of truth, and was adopted by Masonry and Biblical writers as such a symbol. When a Mason asks for more light, he OUGHT TO MEAN that he covets further DIVINE REVELATION OF TRUTH which is possible to him only in the presence of more light. The function of light is to REVEAL TRUTH. However, stark tragedy and idolatry stalk the earth whenever men fail to see the difference between LIGHT and the ETERNAL TRUTH WHICH LIGHT WAS MEANT TO REVEAL.

The ancient symbolism, common to ancient architecture, the Holy Bible and to Masonry, finds its origin in an ancient language of mathematical symbolism which long pre-dated the origin of any kind of ALPHABET, and, therefore, long before there was any kind of literature. For thousands of years, it was the language of DIVINE REVELATION, and it found its way into ancient architecture as sermons in stone. This was true almost everywhere among men except the most primitive... perhaps everywhere except in darkest Africa. Mathematical symbolism is the ONLY possible language by which to communicate ABSOLUTE TRUTH.

Therefore, humanity is fortunate that this system of mathematical symbolism has been preserved to posterity, not only by ancient architecture, but also by the Holy Bible and by Masonry. It is the only key which can unlock some of the mysteries in the Holy Bible, and the fact that so many would-be Bible Scholars seem to find no place in their lives for Masonry is tragedy compounded. But no more so than the fact that so many Masons who possess these keys fail to use them to unlock the mysteries of the Holy Bible. Only now and then do we find a Mason who is sufficiently interested in ultimate TRUTH for him to make use of this key in any constructive way; and, when this key is not used for THIS purpose, its Divine mission is defeated. The Holy Bible and Masonry will remain largely futile to you until you do make use of this key to unlock the door to ETERNAL TRUTH. It is said that Masonry is not an organized RELIGION in the sense that HINDUISM and JANISM and some others are religious; nor is Masonry any kind of ECCLESIASTICAL SYSTEM, such as Roman Catholicism is such a system. Nevertheless, Freemasonry is 100% religious in its nature and content. Take religion out of Masonry and you have nothing left... not even ashes. I think there is this difference between Masonry and all forms of organized Religions: Every known organized Religion insists upon its peculiar dogmatic interpretation of Divine Revelation AS THEIR SPECIAL PRIESTCRAFT INTERPRETS IT. Masonry has no priestcraft, but the individual is left with freedom to make his own interpretation of Divine Revelation. Therefore, Masonry necessarily insists upon RELIGIOUS LIBERTY FOR ALL MEN EVERYWHERE. Therefore, Masonry insists upon THE POLITICAL SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE. The inescapable lesson to be drawn from the whole sweep of human history about this matter is this: THAT WHEREVER AND WHENEVER THE BRUTE POWER OF ANY STATE FALLS INTO THE COMPLETE CONTROL OF ANY CHURCH, THE END THEREOF IS ABSOLUTE TYRANNY OF THE MOST BRUTAL SORT. Freemasonry has been the author of Re-

ligious Liberty WHENEVER and WHEREVER Religious Liberty has existed among men.

In the course of history, several systems of organized Masonry have been in use at different times and places. In America, we have two major systems of RECOGNIZED Masonry which are for NON-NEGROID PEOPLES; and we have the Prince Hall CLANDESTINED Lodges for Negroes, and perhaps some other clandestined Lodges. There seems to be some very high-ranking Northern Masons who are now critical of us for not admitting Prince Hall Masons into our regular Lodges. Before I am through, I hope to give you some good reasons for not doing so; but I will now discuss our recognized systems a little. These are our recognized York and Scottish Rite branches. There are some Scottish Rite Blue Lodges in the United States... a few in New Orleans... but I suppose that better than 99% of our Master Masons got their first three Degrees in York Rite Blue Lodges. The Scottish Rite work in the U.S.A. usually begins with their FOURTH Degree and continues through their 32nd Degree. These 29 Degrees are organized in FOUR separate bodies, each with its full staff of officers.

The York Rite consists of four bodies, also, namely: the Blue Lodge; the Royal Arch Chapter; the Council of Royal and Select Masters; and the Commandery. I am a member of all of the Scottish Rite and the York Rite Bodies, have long been active in them, and am fully convinced that the only thing which can be said to be wrong with any of them is this: that, perhaps, some members might possibly be a little more loyal to the teachings which have been made available to them. Each system is an inexhaustible source of Divine Truth and both systems are finer and greater, I think, than any living man. I commend both systems without reservations. If you are genuinely true to the teachings of either system, you cannot be less than the kind of man that God intended you to be.

The Encyclopedia of Freemasonry by Dr. Albert G. Mackey, copyrighted in 1873, says this about Scottish Rite Masonry: "Although one of the youngest of the Masonic Rites, having been established not earlier than the year 1801, it is at this day the most popular and the most extensively diffused. Supreme Councils, or governing bodies, of the Rite are to be found in almost every civilized country in the world, and, in many of them, it is the only Masonic obedience". In 1953, the record shows that the United States had 3, 893, 530 Blue Lodge Masons. The same record shows 694, 677 Royal Arch Masons and 805, 895 Scottish Rite Masons. I do not have the figures for 1963. I feel that there is no place for conflict between these great systems

of Masonry, for each needs the other as your right arm needs your left; even if some Masons like one system a little better than the other; for, remember: most people are either right-handed or left-handed, yet need both hands.

Mackey claims that York Rite Masonry is known to date back from at least as early as 565-573 A. D. in the City of York in Northern England; and that, in 926 A. D., a Constitution for Masonry was adopted in York and that a General Assembly was organized there during the reign of King Athelstan, sometimes called Adilstan, and that this King "sent about into all the land after all the Masons of the Craft to come straight to him, to amend all those defects by good counsel, if it might so happen; and that he then permitted an Assembly to be made of divers Lords and their ranks: Dukes, Earls, Barons, also Knights, Squires, and many more, and the Great Burgesses of that City. . . they were all in their degree; these were there, each one in every way to make laws for the estate of these Masons. They were sought by their wisdom how they might govern it; there they found out 15 articles, there they made 15 points". Remember what you learned about "15" in the Fellowcraft Degree.

Mackey records another tradition as follows: "Soon after the decease of St. Albones, King of the Lombards 564-573 A. D., there came divers wars (spelled "wars") into England and out of divers Nations that the good rule of Masons was disrupted and put down until the time of King Adilstan". This indicates persecution against the Masons for 322 years. Mackey says further: "York Rite is the oldest of all the Rites and consisted originally of only three degrees: Entered Apprentice; Fellowcraft; and the Master's Degree. The last included the TRUE WORD but which was disrupted from it by DUNCKERLEY in the latter part of the last century (18th Century) and has never been restored". Mackey continues: "The York Rite was that Rite which was most probably originated or MODIFIED at the revival in 1717. . . It consisted of only the 3 symbolic Degrees, the last one, the Master's, containing within itself THE SECRETS NOW TRANSFERRED TO THE ROYAL ARCH. This Rite was carried in its purity to France in 1725, and into America at a later period. About the middle of the 18th Century, the Continental Masons, and, about the end of it, the Americans began to superimpose upon it those higher Degrees, which, with the necessary mutilation of the THIRD, have given rise to numerous other Rites. But the ANCIENT York Rite, though no longer cultivated, must remain on the records of history as the oldest and purest of all the Rites".

THEFORE: I think it follows that, IF MACKEY IS CORRECT

IN THIS, the essence of the ANCIENT York Rite Masonry is now best preserved and exemplified by the 10 York Rite Degrees, including the Degrees in the Blue Lodge, the Royal Arch Chapter, and the Council of Royal and Select Masters, climaxed by the Super Excellent Degree. It is my observation that York Rite Masonry is exclusively BIBLICAL in content. Not that one system is more true to TRUTH or is more important than the other is (for I do not rate one system above the other), but simply from the standpoint of the art of systematic teaching, I suspect that, because of the Biblical basis for York Rite Masonry, many Masons will get more out of both systems if they first make an honest study of York Rite Masonry before trying to master the highly philosophical Degrees of the Scottish Rite System. This is the way I did it, and I doubt if anyone appreciates either system more than I do. In line with this thinking, I believe that much of our modern revolutionary problems stem from the fact that too many of our so-called intellectuals are, and have been, interpreting the Holy Bible and Masonry in the light of pagan philosophies instead of interpreting these pagan philosophies in the light of the Holy Bible and Masonry. I believe that this is the ultimate reason WHY ranking Churchmen and ranking Masons in places of judicial responsibilities have sometimes brushed aside the Constitution of the United States and much of the Holy Bible as something unworthy of their serious consideration; and why they have made fundamental decisions based upon nothing more substantial than a LEFT-WING SOCIALIST'S book, "AN AMERICAN DILEMMA", which begins by condemning our Constitution in a manner comparable with the condemnation of that Constitution in the Papeal "Syllabus of Errors".

In the Morning Advocate of October 15th, 1963, a report from Washington quotes Judge Harlan as saying: "IN THE CURRENT SWIFT PACE OF CONSTITUTIONAL CHANGE", etc., etc. My brethren: The Judges of our Supreme Court are the only ones who have changed the American Constitution at a "SWIFT PACE OF CONSTITUTIONAL CHANGE", and those Judges have exactly as much (and no more) right or authority to change the American Constitution as you have to change that Constitution. For any American to attempt to change that Constitution (by any means other than by Constitutional means) is, in my judgment, an unholy act of treason against this Country, and the tragic consequences, if successful, are as fatal to the continued existence of American Freedom as if a foreign invading Military Force should completely conquer this Nation and destroy it. The only reason I can think of for ANY American to attempt to change our Constitution UNCONSTITUTIONALLY is that they, like Myrdall,

are interpreting our Constitution in the light of pagan, imported, totalitarian philosophies instead of interpreting those pagan, imported, totalitarian philosophies in the light of the Constitution, the Bible, and Masonic philosophy.

I make these remarks only to point up to a most serious principle of sound philosophy, which is this: THAT, WHETHER YOU BE A LAYMAN, A JUDGE, A TEACHER, A MINISTER, A RABBI, OR A PRIEST, YOU MAKE A MOCKERY OUT OF GOD'S ETERNAL TRUTH WHENEVER YOU BEGIN TO INTERPRET OUR CONSTITUTION IN THE LIGHT OF ANYTHING OTHER THAN BY THE PHILOSOPHY OF OUR FOUNDING FATHERS; AND YOU MAKE A MOCKERY OUT OF THE HOLY BIBLE WHEN YOU BEGIN TO INTERPRET IT IN THE LIGHT OF PAGAN PHILOSOPHIES. Brethren: IT IS WRONG to tell a Master Mason that Masonry offers no further light for him. If you were to spend all of your adult life in a dedicated study of Masonry, there would be left much more for you to learn that you had not yet touched; for Masonry is an earnest study of TRUTH, and TRUTH IS AS BROAD AND WIDE AND DEEP AS THE UNIVERSE, AND IT IS AS ETERNAL AS THE MIND OF GOD. Perhaps, more than ever before, it is NOW decisively important for every Master Mason to have the advantage of all of the remaining Degrees in Masonry. EVERY MASTER MASON NEEDS TO KNOW NOW THE TRUE WORD OF A MASTER MASON, TOGETHER WITH THE FULL SWEEP OF ITS DIVINE IMPLICATIONS. For, what OTHER solid rock of eternal truth CAN THERE BE upon which a Master Mason can stand his ground against the tidal waves of pagan philosophy, totalitarian tyranny, and warped and twisted bigotry, which are now sweeping the world with brute force as the expression of the basest instincts of man?

Not long since, our Masonic brother, Rev. J. P. Bonnacerrere, passed away. He was one of the truest Christian Ministers and Masons it has been my privilege to know. For many years, he had held a certificate of proficiency in the Blue Lodge Degrees. After his health broke and he had to retire from the Ministry, he completed the rest of his York Rite work. Later, in my presence, I heard him say in substance: "O HOW I WISH I HAD TAKEN ALL OF THIS YORK RITE WORK FORTY YEARS AGO; FOR I COULD HAVE BEEN A MUCH BETTER MINISTER". Later, he took the Degrees in the Baton Rouge Consistory, and I heard him say substantially the same thing about the Scottish Rite work. Brethren: You need all of both of these great systems of Masonry; and, if you will be honest with both Rites, they can shed more light upon your pathway than you will know how to use. The only limit to their usefulness will be your own ability to

absorb the TRUTH revealed by them. Thus far, I have not discussed, but only mentioned, the York Rite Commandery. As I understand it, the Commandery was adopted by York Rite Masonry approximately 300 years ago. It was adopted only because Christian Masons believed that they found in the Christian Orders of the Commandery a sublime fulfillment of the Divine purposes of all of the Masonic Degrees, and they believed that, in these Orders, they had found the most sacred dramatization of the Spirit of Freemasonry to be found ANYWHERE. Not to exclude any Mason whatsoever from membership, but solely in order to be perfectly honest with every Mason, the application forms for the Commandery indicate that the nature of the Commandery Orders is CHRISTIAN IN CONTENT, so that each may be his own judge as to whether to apply or not. I have never known a Jew or a Moslem to be rejected; and I have helped to initiate a Jew into the Order of the Temple.

NOW: If you are not too impatient, I shall gladly share with you some of my observations of some of the difficulties which are now in the way of each of us in our honest efforts to be and remain well informed about our Masonic responsibilities as we live our lives in this kind of world. Let me begin by saying that the subversive MISUSE of common English words in order to give to them meanings which they have not heretofore had, or to deny to these words meanings which they have always had, has become a sensitive ART in the hands of world-powers which would brain-wash every citizen and rob him of the free exercise of his God-given, unalienable, moral rights, AFTER GAINING HIS CONSENT THROUGH UNIVERSAL CONFUSION. Let us think of a few of many examples:

1. The word "CONSERVATIVE": Any honest use of this word would include the meaning of PRESERVING ALL THAT HAD BEEN PROVEN IN HUMAN EXPERIENCE TO BE GOOD AND USEFUL TO HUMAN LIFE. In the context of American philosophy, therefore, it would include the preservation of Constitutional Government AS OUR FOUNDING FATHERS UNDERSTOOD IT, since, AS SO UNDERSTOOD, that Government proved to be the greatest and finest Government ever to exist anywhere within the history of man. The ENEMIES of that Constitution, AS SO UNDERSTOOD, are using this good word, "CONSERVATIVE", as an UGLY word...imputing wrong motives to the SO UNDERSTOOD, is a bad document, unworthy of modern man.

I think THIS is the only reason WHY our Supreme Court, in 1954, (when bent upon making a Decision which could not be supported by the philosophy of the people who wrote the Constitution and its

Amendments), attempted to excuse themselves by saying that they could not "turn the pages of history back" to the time when the Constitution and its Amendments were adopted. I think they clearly meant that the Constitution, AS UNDERSTOOD BY THOSE WHO WROTE IT, is no longer valid or worthy of their confidence and can no longer be accepted as their authority for their Supreme Court Decisions. In my judgment, they, thereby, ignored and violated the most fundamental principle in 1,000 years of English and American jurisprudence... which principle is this: THAT ANY CONSTITUTION OR LAW OUGHT TO BE INTERPRETED IN THE LIGHT OF ITS HISTORICAL CONTEXT. IN THE LIGHT OF WHAT WAS MEANT BY THESE LAWS AND CONSTITUTIONS AT THE TIME OF THEIR ADOPTION... AND THAT, IF IT SHOULD TURN OUT THAT A CONSTITUTION OR A LAW IS BAD, THE REMEDY IS NOT TO BE FOUND BY JUDICIAL USURPATION, BUT IS TO BE FOUND BY CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS, OR BY LEGISLATIVE ACTION BY THE CONSTITUTIONALLY AUTHORIZED LEGISLATIVE BODY OR BODIES. It seems to me that ANY boy who had completed a course in High School Civics OUGHT to know that much about Government. But, you see, we have come upon a time when pseudo-intellectuals are working with fanatical zeal to turn the word "CONSERVATIVE" into a bad word and to turn our Constitution (as understood by those who wrote it) into bad Law.

2. NOW: Look at the word "DISCRIMINATION": By traditional and Dictionary definition, the word, "DISCRIMINATION", is a good, useful, and necessary work. Its legitimate meaning includes THE POWER TO OBSERVE THE DIFFERENCE WHICH ACTUALLY EXISTS BETWEEN THINGS AND PRINCIPLES. Yet, we have come upon a day when subversive forces are doing whatever they can to make this a dirty word, also. My brethren: If you observe the difference between a cow and an automobile, this is "DISCRIMINATION"; or, if you choose between God and SATAN, that is "DISCRIMINATION" If you prefer sugar in your coffee rather than quinine, that is "DISCRIMINATION". THE ONLY REASON WHY GOD GAVE YOU ANY BRAINS AT ALL IS THAT YOU MIGHT USE THEM TO "DISCRIMINATE" BETWEEN THINGS AND PRINCIPLES WHICH ARE DIFFERENT IN NATURE. Yet, in this past August, 1963, a highly placed ecclesiastical leader authored an article which was published in one of our National Magazines, and, in his article, he makes the blunt, unequivocal, dogmatic, PONTIFICAL statement: "DISCRIMINATION IN ANY FORM IS UNCHRISTIAN". Spelled out in plain English, his statement would mean that, IF YOU PREFER SUGAR IN YOUR COFFEE

RATHER THAN QUININE, you are to be damned as "UNCHRISTIAN". I think the unmistakable purpose of this kind of abuse of language is to SHAME US INTO COMPROMISING AND VIOLATING OUR OWN COMMON SENSE AND OUR MORAL CONSCIENCES. Brethren: You have the absolute moral right to be "CONSERVATIVE" in all things; and you not only have the moral right, but the moral duty to "DISCRIMINATE" between right and wrong, regardless of the non-sense which is being written by pseudo-intellectuals and sociological crack-pots.

I promised to touch a little on our relationship with Prince Hall Masons, since we are being criticised by some of our Northern Brethren at this point. In order to do so, it is proper that I begin by dealing with another abused word. This is the Hebrew word "ADAM". The root and primary meaning of the Hebrew word "ADAM" is "RED, REDNESS, REDISH, OR RUDDY". In consequence of this fundamental meaning of the word, the Hebrew term for "RED LAND" is "ADAMAH". Likewise, and for similar reasons, a man may be rightly called "ADAM" if he is RUDDY, REDISH, OR RED, OR IF HIS SKIN PERMITS HIS RED BLOOD TO SHOW THROUGH HIS SKIN. By fundamental meaning, the word "ADAM" excludes ALL OTHERS. The writer of Genesis had no knowledge of the Japanese, the Polynesians, the American Indians, or the African Negroid peoples. So far as he knew, ALL men were "ADAM" or the sons of "ADAM". The Bible makes no mention at all of the Negroid peoples, as such. Biblical writers thought that the world was FLAT. They had no knowledge of Africa SOUTH OF THE DESERT. All of the people whom the Bible deals with ARE descendants from "ADAM". But some English translations employ the word "ETHIOPIAN" and many suppose the ETHIOPIANS ARE NEGROES. This is not true. The Hebrew word translated "ETHIOPIAN" is the word "KISHITE". The Kushites were descendants from CUSH, brother of CANAAN, son of HAM, son of NOAH. The pure Cushite was as far removed BIOLOGICALLY from the Negroid peoples as the present Germans are. The Cushites divided; and some of them settled near Babylon, some in Southern Arabia, and some in Southern Egypt and Northern Ethiopia. I think it is highly probable that there was, in the course of time, some contact made between the Cushites in Ethiopia and the Negroid peoples further to the South and to the West in Africa; and that, in the course of time, there was considerable cross-breeding between these two classes of people; so that, finally, the population of Ethiopia became almost, if not altogether, MONGRELIZED, AS AMERICA IS NOW IN DANGER OF BECOMING.

There is no known source of information which indicates anything positively about the actual origin of the Negroid peoples of Africa. The Negroes made no records and others have known nothing about their origin. ONLY PSEUDO-SCIENTIFIC AND PHILOSOPHICAL IMAGINATION has attempted to connect the Negroid peoples of Africa with the Biblical "ADAM". The Bible knows absolutely nothing about it. PURE SCIENCE, so far as it speaks on this subject at all, is all against it. The scientific FACT that cycle blood is characteristic of Negroid people, but is NOT characteristic of ANY OTHER KIND OF PEOPLE; and that, when cycle blood is transfused WHOLE into the blood of ANY other kind of people, a great harm is done, usually causing great suffering and sometimes resulting in death to the patient, CONSTITUTES STRONG SCIENTIFIC CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE that there has never been any BIOLOGICAL connection between the Negroid peoples and the ADAMIC peoples of the Holy Bible as far as origin is concerned; but that the Negroid peoples had their origin in a separate act of Divine Creation, at a different time and place. This we know: There is a substantial difference in the emotional natures of the two races; they do not think alike; the two races differ in their CREATIVE interests, and, in general, they are so different that any good yard-dog can tell the difference. This does not mean that one race has any right to abuse or be dishonest with the other; or to treat them unjustly; but it does mean that God saw fit to create these differences in these races, and it does mean that MON-GRILIZATION is a fundamental wrong against God and man. The Whites and the Blacks ought to treat one another as good as the facts of life permit; but mongrelization is WRONG FOR BOTH RACES; it is an abuse of biological Law, and it is contrary to the spirit of Biblical Religion and Masonic philosophy; and THIS is the only basis, under God, for SEGREGATION.

Nothing is more manifest than that God has unlimited power and ability to create whatever He wants to create, anywhere, any time, and any way He wants to create it. God saw fit to create a difference between the African Negro and the sons of ADAM.

In every aspect of God's Creation, from the Atom to the Universe, there is a UNITY IN GOD but a DIVERSITY IN CREATION. Diversity is in every created thing. There are different kinds of trees in the forest; different kinds of fingers on your hand; different kinds of soil on the surface of the earth; different kinds of cattle and dogs and birds and fish. WHY SHOULD IT BE THOUGHT STRANGE IF GOD HAS CREATED DIFFERENT TYPES OF MAN? Whether it be strange or not, HE HAS. Brethren: Masons have no greater obligation to God

or to man than to abide by those God-created differences with courtesy but with firmness and with integrity and honor. If others fail to respect us in the fulfillment of these obligations, I believe moral integrity will compel us to withdraw fellowship from them out of our respect and honor for God in whom we put our trust. AMEN.

NOTE: I defend every Mason's right to disagree with the opinions herein expressed IF HE MUST; but I have given you an honest expression of what I believe about these things. G. M. H.

NOTE: "THE TWENTIETH CENTURY CROSS" is a book authored by Rev. George M. Home. It contains 246 pages and may be ordered direct from him at 2757-72nd Avenue, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, 70807, at \$3.00 per copy. It is an omnibus which deals with many of the present-day explosive and revolutionary problems from the standpoint of Biblical Religion and Masonic philosophy.

NOTE: Further copies of this tract may be ordered from BRYAN & BRYAN PRINTERS, 1534 N. Foster Drive, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, at 10¢ per copy or \$9.00 per 100 in quantity.

NOTE: As a matter of information, the author has written the manuscript for another volume of "THE TWENTIETH CENTURY CROSS" of about 400 pages, which traces the evolution of the whole system of mathematical symbols of which Masonry is but a part and explains their philosophical ^{values} based upon that system of symbolism. If and when enough Masons show sufficient interest in such a book, perhaps we can arrange to get it published. If interested please write the author. G. M. H.